UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,714	02/06/2001	Gary M. Katz	PIP-69A-KATZ	2896
31518 NEIFELD IP L	7590 11/18/200 AW. PC	18	EXAMINER	
4813-B EISENHOWER AVENUE			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3688	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

general@neifeld.com rneifeld@neifeld.com rhahl@neifeld.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/776,714	KATZ, GARY M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raquel Alvarez	3688
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/10 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the extension of	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 09/776,714 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to 9/10/2008 petition decision.

2. Claims 1-65 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Based on Supreme Court precedent ¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a 101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. ² If either of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is non a patent eligible process under § 101 and should be rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as drawn to a non-statutory subject matter. The applicant is reciting only method steps such as "reciving....identifying...determining", the applicant has not recited an apparatus or device to perform these limitations and without apparatus or device these limitations are just mental steps. Mentioning computer in the preamble is not enough, if the body of the claims each of the steps can be performed manually.

In claims 1 and 28 the steps are related to a mental process, which is not patentable. Indeed, it is not tied to another statutory class or does not change or switch

Application/Control Number: 09/776,714 Page 3

Art Unit: 3688

statutory class (such as a particular apparatus or physical module or device) or does not transform the underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. See MPEP §2106.IV.B: *Determine Whether the Claimed Invention Falls Within An Enumerated Statutory Category.*

Examiner suggests applicant inserts a device in one or more steps of the body of the claims in order to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-21, 23-36, 39-42 and 44-46, 62-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swix et al. (6,718,551 hereinafter Swix).

With respect to claims 1-3, 7, 9-15, 19-21, 23-25, 27-36, 39-42, 45-46, 62-65 Swiss teaches a computer implemented method (Abstract). Receiving identification information from a consumer (Figure 2, 210); identifying, based upon said received identification information, one or more parameters related to promotions received by

¹ Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² The supreme court recognized that this test is not necessary fixed or permanent and may evolve with technological advances. Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63,71 (1972)

said consumer (Figure 3, 302); determining a time at which promotions is to be provided based upon said identified one or more parameters related to promotions received by said consumer (col. 10, lines 52 to col. 11, lines 1-3).

With respect to claims 4 5, Swix further teaches that one or more parameters related to said promotions received by said consumer relate to a product class/product industry of said promotions received by said consumer (Figure 3).

With respect to claim 16, Swix further teaches selecting a targeted promotion from a plurality of potential promotions based upon said one or more characteristics of said consumer, said determine d time being for said targeted promotion (col. 12, lines 22-90).

With respect to claims 17-18, Swix further teaches selecting said targeted promotion comprises matching said one or more characteristics of said consumer to a desired consumer profile (col. 12, lines 22-60).

With respect to claims 26 and 44, Swix further teaches determining said time at which said promotion is to be provided comprises determining at least one of a demographic and a purchase characteristic of said consumer (col. 12, lines 22-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3688

5. Claims 6, 22, 38, 47-61 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swix.

Claim 6, 22, 38 further recites that the promotions received by said consumer relate to a location where the promotions can be exercised by the consumer. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known for promotions to have a location in which it can be redeemed in order to promote patronage of an establishment. It would have been obvious a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the promotions received by said consumer relate to a location where the promotions can be exercised by the consumer in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 8 and 37 further recites a promotion validity date. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known for offers to have a validity date in which the offers can be redeemed in order allow promoters/manufacture to better manage the offers. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included recites a promotion validity date in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claim 43 further recites that the promotions have a time of receipt record.

Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in the computer related arts to time stamp information received in order to keep track of when the information was received. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention to have included promotions time receipt record in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 47-58 recite well known forms and methods of distributing offers and would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such a known form of advertisement.

With respect to claims 59-61 Swix teaches a computer implemented method (Abstract). Receiving identification information from a consumer (Figure 2, 210); identifying, based upon said received identification information, one or more parameters related to promotions received by said consumer (Figure 3, 302); determining a time at which promotions is to be provided based upon said identified one or more parameters related to promotions received by said consumer (col. 10, lines 52 to col. 11, lines 1-3). Swix doesn't specifically teach that the receiver is at a vendor location site at a check-out counter in a store. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known for consumers to identify themselves at the checkout counter at a vendor location site in order to receive promotions/offers based on their current purchases. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the receiver being located at a vendor location site at a check-out counter in a store in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

Point of contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James w. Myhre can be reached on (571)272-6722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raquel Alvarez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688

Raquel Alvarez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3688

R.A. 11/10/2008